

Zbl. Bakt. Hyg. A 260, 81-87 (1985)

## Present Position of Microscopy and of Culture in Diagnostic Mycobacteriology<sup>1</sup>

RICHARD URBANCZIK

Schwarzwald-Kurklinik der BfA, Schömberg, und medizinisch-diagnostisches Institut der Saarstickstoff Fatol GmbH, Schiffweiler/Saar

Received November 30, 1984 · Accepted January 10, 1985

### Summary

The relative merits of microscopy and culture are discussed; it is attempted to review the possible reasons why microscopy is considered as unreliable in TB diagnosis, including the probably false application of Bayes' theorem. In the author's opinion, microscopy still holds a firm position in the rapid and relatively reliable detection of pulmonary TB. As for culture, it is highly questionable if "better media" could accelerate the growth rate of tubercle bacilli. Therefore, it should be attempted to introduce novel techniques which will allow to decrease considerably the mycobacterial mass needed for detection. Laboratories equipped with such devices could offer their services even on an intercontinental basis, in order to have a favourable cost-benefit ratio.

### Zusammenfassung

Die relativen Vorteile der Mikroskopie und der Kultur werden besprochen; es wird versucht die Gründe zu analysieren, warum die Mikroskopie oft als nicht zuverlässig für die TB-Diagnostik betrachtet wird, einschließlich der vermutlich falschen Anwendung des Bayes'schen Theorems. Nach Ansicht des Verfassers stellt die Mikroskopie noch immer eine schnelle und verhältnismäßig zuverlässige Methode für die Diagnostik der Lungen-Tb dar. Was die Kultur anbelangt, es ist sehr unwahrscheinlich, daß „bessere“ Nährböden imstande wären, die Wachstumsgeschwindigkeit der Tuberkuloseerreger zu beschleunigen. Man sollte deswegen anstreben, neuere Kulturverfahren einzuführen, die fähig wären, die für die Entdeckung notwendige Mykobakterienmasse beträchtlich herabzusetzen. Mit solchen Maschinen ausgerüstete Laboratorien könnten ihre Dienste sogar für andere Kontinente anbieten, um der Kosten-Nutzen-Analyse gerecht zu werden.

---

<sup>1</sup> Presented in abbreviated form at the European Symposium "The TB challenge - where do we stand today?", Bruxelles, November 22, 1983.

VW: lab, dx, microscopy, culture, collection

RM 2055

This report covers exclusively pulmonary tuberculosis, because of its relative prevalence compared with that of the extrapulmonary disease.

Bacteriological investigation of sputum is a direct way of diagnosing tuberculosis, since the demonstration of tubercle bacilli is conclusive (27). Also, it was decided (26) that, from the epidemiological point of view, a "case" of pulmonary tuberculosis refers to a person with bacteriologically confirmed disease. Problems involved in this type of definition have been repeatedly reviewed (eg. 20).

In both industrialized and developing countries bacteriological diagnosis of tuberculosis presents many difficulties. In Africa (3) not much more than 30 % of the existing smear-positive cases are detected, diagnostic services were able to find between 10 % in Western Malaysia and 50 % in Japan (17), and a detection level of some 80 % is estimated in the Federal Republic of Germany (11).

In some industrialized countries, eg. in the USA, the reliability of microscopic examination was questioned (eg. 15), possibly also because of the incorrect application of the Bayes' theorem (21) which states – among others – that, as prevalence of a disease in the population decreases, a larger fraction of diagnostic tests will become falsely positive (8, 9). By constructing a fourfold correlation table (eg. 8, 24) it is possible to calculate the sensitivity (= its capacity to identify correctly those individuals who have the disease, or "true positives") and the specificity (= its capacity to correctly identify those who are free from the disease, or "true negatives") of a given diagnostic test. It is, however, true that a third parameter is important to assess the accuracy of test results: the predictive value (of positivity or negativity) can be defined as the probability of having (not having) the disease among the group of persons classified as positive (negative) by the test in question. It is easy to demonstrate (24) that, even with the sensitivity and specificity of 99 %, ie. a situation which cannot be achieved in the case of sputum smear investigation, assuming a prevalence of the disease around 1 %, only a predictive value of positivity of some 50 % would be encountered, whereas with a 10 % prevalence of the disease the predictive values would significantly exceed 90 %. So, the conclusion is that, whenever a *single* diagnostic test is used, its accuracy is bound to be poor if the frequency of the disease in the population examined is low. However, the usual procedure involves increasing the prevalence by preselection: investigation of respiratory symptomatics, of persons with X-ray abnormalities etc. So, though the Bayes' theorem is essentially correct, it applies *only* to situations where a single test would be applied to unselected general population, eg. indiscriminate mass case-finding by a single X-ray examination.

Analysing examples of the overall correlation of positive smear to culture results (Table 1), this ratio attains levels from 22 % to 62 %. There are basically two main reasons for such a fluctuation of results: the epidemiological and the technical one.

From the epidemiological point of view, several countries with more or less high tuberculosis prevalence have based their case finding on sputum smear examination of persons coughing for more than roughly 4 weeks (reviewed eg. in 22). If, however, the prevalence of tuberculosis is low and that of chronic cough high, which eg. is the case in the highlands of Papua-New Guinea (19), one needs to investigate some 1.400 "coughers" to detect a single tuberculosis case. On the other hand, approx. 7 % of the chronic coughers from the Papuan coast, or from Eastern Nigeria, or from Southern India will be positive on smear, needing effectively only some 14 "coughers" – or 100 times less than in the former case – to detect a single tuberculosis patient (19).

The technical factors include first an epidemiological survey situation as opposed to a case-finding programme (Table 2), furthermore the type (Table 3) and the number

Table 1. Overall smear/Culture positivity correlation

| Reference/Country                                | Smear/Culture ratio   |
|--|-----------------------|
| <i>Boyd and Marr</i> */USA                       | 22%                   |
| <i>Marraro and coworkers</i> **/USA              | 24%                   |
| <i>Rickmann and Moyer</i> ***/USA                | 25%                   |
| <i>Burdash and coworkers</i> °/USA               | 43%                   |
| <i>Pollock and Wieman</i> ∞/USA                  | 50%                   |
| <i>Kubica</i> ∞∞/Africa, Europe,<br>USA and Asia | 53% Z-N<br>63% Fluor. |
| <i>Blair and coworkers</i> #/USA                 | 62%                   |
| <i>Urbanczik</i> ##/FRG                          | 37%                   |
| <i>Petersen</i> ##/FRG                           | 54%                   |

\* Ann. int. Med. 82 (1975) 489

\*\* J. Amer. med. Technol. 37 (1975) 277

\*\*\* J. clin. Microbiol. 11 (1980) 618

° J. clin. Microbiol. 4 (1976) 190

∞ J. clin. Microbiol. 5 (1977) 239

∞∞ Bull. int. Un. Tuberc. 55 (1980)-117

# Amer. Rev. resp. Dis. 115 (1976) 427

## not published

(Table 4) of sputum specimens recollected. As for the first, epidemiological surveys estimate the proportion of individuals suffering from the disease; they are carried out for the sake of knowledge, irrespective of whether action follows immediately, later or not at all. For case-finding purposes the individuals are sought who have the disease; it would be meaningless when proper chemotherapy would not be available for all patients discovered. This is why positive predictive values of about 70% or even less

Table 2. Efficacy of smear and culture procedures (case finding)\*

|  | Percentage of total cases found by procedure |       |
|--|--|-------|
|  | A**  | B**   |
| Single sputum examined by microscopy only        | 40,6%  | 28,4% |
| Single sputum examined by microscopy and culture | 57,8%  | 40,5% |
| Two specimens examined by microscopy only        | 45,3%  | 31,7% |
| Two specimens examined by microscopy and culture | 71,9%  | 50,3% |

\* K. D. Gautam, Indian J. Tuberc, 27 (1980) 24-32

\*\* A = Survey depends basically in investigating symptomatic persons

B = Survey depends basically in investigating the entire population over 5 years of age

Table 3. Positive results of sputum microscopy and culture related to the type of the specimen recollection\*

| Study | Type      | Microscopy |          | Culture |          |
|-------|-----------|------------|----------|---------|----------|
|       |           | Total      | % posit. | Total   | % posit. |
| 1     | overnight | 160        | 85,0     | 160     | 98,1     |
|       | spot      | 160        | 51,8     | 160     | 91,8     |
| 2     | overnight | 181        | 31,5     | 179     | 51,6     |
|       | spot      | 179        | 13,9     | 179     | 42,2     |

1 Pande, Gautam, Mehrothra and Misra, Indian J. Tuberc. 21 (1974) 192

2 R. Valladares and R. Urbanczik, National Institute of TB, Caracas 1968-1969

\* Specimens collected in the same persons, ZN technique was used in India, fluorescence in Venezuela, Petroff technique for culture in both.

could be acceptable for epidemiological surveys estimating morbidity, but certainly not for a case-finding programme. Considering the *type* of specimen, overnight sputum is obviously better than the sputum collected on the spot in the case of microscopy, much less so with culture (Table 3); for operational reasons, it will be often necessary to depend on one spot and one overnight sputum specimen. Thus, *two* specimens (Table 4) seem to detect most positives on smear – even more so on culture – while adding more specimens (Table 5) will not increase considerably the yield, particularly if a cost benefit relation is considered, though *three* specimens might be optimal if they can be afforded.

A very important problem is the definition of what is “positive” on smear – eg. more than 3 or more than 10 acid-fast rods per smear? This issue is thoroughly discussed in (10). Also, since all mycobacteria are acid-fast, it is of interest if (Ziehl-Neelsen method) differential identification of acid fast bacilli in sputum smears is possible: 4

Table 4. Positive on smear or in culture related to the number of specimens recollected\*

| Study           | Smear (+) |     |     |    | Culture (+) |     |            |
|-----------------|-----------|-----|-----|----|-------------|-----|------------|
|                 | 1st       | 2nd | 3rd |    | 1st         | 2nd | 3rd sputum |
| 1<br>(N = 191)  | 81%       | 14% | 5%  |    | 92%         | 7%  | < 1%       |
| 2<br>(N = 271)  | 80%       | 12% | 8%  |    | 90%         | 9%  | < 1%       |
| 3<br>(N = 1162) | 86%       | 14% | –   | M+ | 93%         | 7%  | –          |
|                 |           |     |     | M– | 68%         | 32% | –          |
| 4<br>(N = 200)  | 82%       | 10% | 8%  | M+ | 95%         | 4%  | < 1%       |
|                 |           |     |     | M– | 75%         | 23% | 2%         |

\* Only diagnostic cases included, ie. no treatment control

1 L. Herrera Malmsten, Chile (personal communication)

2 R. Urbanczik, Schömberg, 1979-1980

3 Chan and coworkers, Bull. Wld Hlth Org. 45 (1971) 551

4 R. Urbanczik, Schömberg, 1982-1983

readers examined an assortment of 200 smears, of which half were known to contain *M. tuberculosis* and half were known to contain mycobacteria other than tubercle bacilli. In two separate trials reader consistency ranged from around 70% to around 86%, and the overall accuracy attained 62% to 80%, which may roughly represent the potential value of smear-based identification, *perhaps* exceeding the reliability of tuberculin testing or radiology *if* experienced microscopists were involved (7).

Due to the low tuberculosis prevalence, to administrative complications and to a general lack of interest in tuberculosis, eg. in the Federal Republic of Germany (16), among all laboratories active also in the field of mycobacteriology 34 laboratories investigated 5,000 smears or less in one year, which means roughly 100 or less in a week; with the reported positivity rate of around 2% it is easy to compute that these people had a chance to see about 2 positive smears in a week.

Summarizing all this sputum smear examination may be very useful, even in industrialized countries, provided it is understood as an important diagnostic tool in individual patients and/or in specific groups of persons with allegedly high tuberculosis prevalence (eg. drug addicts in general), and provided it is reasonably centralized to keep the microscopist motivated and the cost per diagnosed case low.

Table 5. Number of sputum specimens required to establish positivity with smear in 270 patients\*

| Specimen sequence No. | Cumulative % patients with + smear | % patients with + culture | Δ     |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| 1                     | 47,4%                              | 74,1                      | 26,7% |
| 2                     | 53,0%                              | 83,3                      | 30,4% |
| 3                     | 58,2%                              | 88,9                      | 30,7% |
| 4                     | 59,3%                              | 91,5                      | 32,2% |
| 5                     | 60,4%                              | 94,4                      | 34,1% |
| 6                     | 61,9%                              | 95,6                      | 33,7% |
| 7-17                  | 67,0%                              | 100,0                     | 33,0% |

\* E. B. Blair and coworkers, Amer. Rev. resp. Dis. 113 (1976) 427-432

As for culture, its yield of positivity in relation to that of microscopy was shown in Tables 4 and 5; it is of interest that, according to some investigators (22) the proportion of those positive already on smear among all positives on smear and culture will achieve in the course of a tuberculosis control programme a given value - eg. 40% - which will not continue to decrease, possibly due to the fact that even under "optimal" conditions the case-finding will be predominantly passive, ie. symptomatics will search relief by visiting medical facilities and not *vice versa*.

Unfortunately, it cannot be denied that culture technique did not change much from *Koch*' or *Petroff*' times (18). It is highly questionable if "better" media may accelerate the growth rate of tubercle bacilli: as reviewed recently (25), the *M. tuberculosis* DNA-dependent RNA-polymerase exerts properties which result in a rate of RNA chain growth only approximately one-tenth of that seen in *Escherichia coli*. Consequently, novel procedures like detection and recovery of mycobacteria by radiometric techniques (6, 13, 14) must be seriously considered since they allow to decrease considerably

the amount of mycobacterial mass susceptible to detection – it would no longer be necessary to wait for “colonies” seen by the naked eye. Also, it may well be that, in order to compensate partly for the quality of a few properly recollected sputum specimens, it would be feasible to introduce *sputum culture examination on a large scale* knowing that a part of such examination would be possibly useless. A similar situation already exists in clinical chemistry where automatic devices, eg. SMA, yield for each serum sample 20 or more biochemical parameters which might or might not be of importance to the clinician. By the same token, each sputum specimen received in a bacteriological laboratory might also be screened for the presence of mycobacteria. Since transport of specimens for mycobacterial investigations, even for intercontinental distances, presents today no serious problems at all (at least as far as technology is concerned), it is also possible to envisage a big laboratory in an industrialized country investigating specimens from a hospital in a developing country. In this way the problems with tuberculosis detection in many parts of the world might be resolved much more rapidly than waiting for the development of local laboratory networks.

Finally, it should be mentioned that with culture there are also problems involved in the definition of a “positive” specimen. The significance of isolating low numbers of colonies of *M. tuberculosis* in culture of sputum specimens was seriously questioned (1, 2, 4), and transfer from positive to negative specimens in the laboratory was, among others, suggested as one of the reasons (12), particularly in patients undergoing chemotherapy. Whereas no carrier state is recognized with *M. tuberculosis*, the question of colonization as opposed to invasion is a frequent problem with mycobacteria other than tubercle bacilli, as reviewed recently (5).

### References

1. Aber, V. R., B. W. Allen, D. A. Mitchison, P. Ayuma, E. A. Edwards, and A. B. Keyes: Quality control in tuberculosis bacteriology: 1. Laboratory studies on isolated positive cultures and the efficiency of direct smear examination. *Tubercle (Lond.)* 61 (1980) 123–133
2. Allen, B. W. and J. H. Darrell: Contamination of specimen container surfaces during sputum collection. *J. clin. Path.* 36 (1983) 479–481
3. Aluoch, J. A.: Tuberculosis: still a scourge of modern times in Africa. *Bull. int. Un. Tuberc.* 53 (1978) 222–223
4. Blair, E. B., G. L. Brown, and A. H. Tull: Computer files and analyses of laboratory data from tuberculosis patients: II. Analyses of six years' data on sputum specimens. *Amer. Rev. resp. Dis.* 113 (1976) 427–432
5. Chapman, J. S.: The atypical mycobacteria. *Amer. Rev. resp. Dis.* 125 (1982) 119–124 (No. 3, Part 2)
6. Damato, J. J., M. T. Collins, M. V. Rothlauf, and J. K. McClatchy: Detection of mycobacteria by radiometric and standard plate procedures. *J. clin. Microbiol.* 17 (1983) 1066–1073
7. Dawson, D. J., Z. M. Blacklock, A. J. Hayward, and M.-J. Walsh: Differential identification of mycobacteria in smears of sputum. *Tubercle (Lond.)* 62 (1981) 257–262
8. Köbberling, J.: Der prädiktive Wert diagnostischer Maßnahmen. *Dtsch. med. Wschr.* 107 (1982) 591–595
9. Hall, G. H.: The clinical application of Bayes' theorem. *Lancet* 2 (1967) 555–557
10. Kubica, G. P.: Correlation of acid-fast staining methods with culture results for mycobacteria. *Bull. int. Un. Tuberc.* 55 (1980) 117–124

11. *Lukas, W.*: Epidemiologische Entwicklung der Tuberkulose in der Bundesrepublik in den Jahren 1972 bis 1979 anhand der Zugänge an behandlungsbedürftiger Tuberkulose. *Öff. Gesundh.-Wes.* 44 (1982) 270-287
12. *MacGregor, R. R., L. W. Clark, and F. Bass*: The significance of isolating low numbers of *M. tuberculosis* in culture of sputum specimens. *Chest* 68 (1975) 518-523
13. *Middlebrook, G., Z. Reggiardo, and W. D. Tigert*: Automated radiometric detection of *M. tuberculosis* in selective media. *Amer. Rev. resp. Dis.* 115 (1977) 1066-1069
14. *Morgan, M. A., C. D. Horstmeier, D. R. DeYoung, and G. D. Roberts*: Comparison of radiometric method (BACTEC) and conventional culture media for recovery of mycobacteria from smear-negative specimens. *J. clin. Microbiol.* 18 (1983) 384-388
15. *Murray, P. R., C. Elmore, and D. J. Krogstad*: The acid-fast stain: a specific and predictive test for mycobacterial disease. *Ann. int. Med.* 92 (1980) 512-513
16. *Müller, H. E.*: Ergebnisse einer Umfrage bei 85 Laboratorien über ihre Tuberkulose-Diagnostik im Jahr 1980. *Lab.-Med.* 7 A + B (1983) 21-30
17. *Nkinda, S. J.*: Critical review of the application of tuberculosis control technology in primary health care. *WHO (TB)* 81 (1981) 120
18. *Petersen, K. F. and R. Urbanczik*: Mikroskopische und kulturelle Methoden für die Laboratoriumsdiagnose der Tuberkulose: kurzer historischer Überblick. *Zbl. Bakt. Hyg., I. Abt. Orig. A* 251 (1982) 308-325
19. *Pust, R. E.*: The risk factor approach to sputum-smear diagnosis. *Wld Hlth Forum* 3 (1982) 78-80
20. *Raj Narain, S. S. Nair, K. Naganna, P. Chandrasekhar, G. R. Rao, and Pyare Lal*: Problems in defining a "case" of pulmonary tuberculosis in prevalence surveys. *Bull. Wld. Hlth Org.* 39 (1968) 701-729
21. *Ransohoff, D. F. and A. R. Feinstein*: Problems of spectrum and bias in evaluating the efficacy of diagnostic tests. *New Engl. J. Med.* 299 (1978) 926-930
22. *Rouillon, A., S. Perdrizet, and R. Parrot*: Transmission of tubercle bacilli: the effect of chemotherapy. *Tubercle (Lond.)* 57 (1976) 275-299
23. *Strumpf, I. J., A. Y. Tsang, and J. W. Sayre*: Re-evaluation of sputum staining for the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis. *Amer. Rev. resp. Dis.* 119 (1979) 599-602
24. *Toman, K.*: Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of diagnostic tests. *Bull. int. Un. Tuberc.* 56 (1981) 18-28
25. *Wayne, L. G.*: Microbiology of tubercle bacilli. *Amer. Rev. resp. Dis.* 125 (1982) 31-41 (No. 3, Part 2)
26. WHO Expert Committee on Tuberculosis, Eighth Report. *Wld Hlth Org. Techn. Rep. Ser. No. 290* (1964)
27. WHO Expert Committee on Tuberculosis, Ninth Report. *Wld Hlth Org. Techn. Rep. Ser. No. 552* (1974)

Prof. Dr. med. *Richard Urbanczik*, Med.-diagnostisches Institut und Forschungsgruppe der Saarstickstoff Fatol GmbH, D-6685 Schiffweiler/Saar