



**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Model Performance Evaluation Program
Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1
(HIV-1) Antibody Testing**

**Report of Results
for the Performance Evaluation Survey
Conducted During July 2007**

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN
SERVICES**

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Coordinating Center for Infectious Diseases
Division of Laboratory Systems
Atlanta, Georgia 30333**

**Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.**

Report of the July 2007 Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 (HIV-1)
Antibody Performance Evaluation Sample Testing Results Provided by Participant
Laboratories in the Model Performance Evaluation Program,
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

The production of this report was coordinated in CDC by:

Division of Laboratory Systems..... D. Joe Boone, Ph.D., Acting Director
Laboratory Practice Evaluation and Genomics Branch..... G. David Cross, M.S., Acting Branch Chief
Model Performance Evaluation Program (MPEP).....G. David Cross, M.S., Manager

The material in this report was developed and prepared by:

Model Performance Evaluation Program (MPEP).....Sandra Neal, B.S., MT(ASCP), M.S., P.M.P.
HIV-1 Project Coordinator

Inquiries about this report should be directed to the Model Performance Evaluation Program by
calling (404) 718-1006 or (404) 718-1004.

Table of Contents

Analysis of Testing Results Reported by Laboratories Participating in the Model Performance Evaluation Program for HIV-1 Antibody During July 2007.....	5
Introduction.....	5
Purpose.....	5
Response.....	5
Contents.....	5
Executive Summary.....	6
Overall performance.....	6
Laboratory demographics.....	6
Test summary.....	7
Laboratory practice questions.....	7
Survey Samples.....	7
Challenge Samples.....	8
Survey samples.....	8
Donor testing.....	8
Donor status.....	8
Laboratory worksheet.....	9
CDC WB results.....	10
Demographics.....	11
U. S. laboratories.....	11
All MPEP laboratories.....	12
Test methods by laboratory type.....	13
EIA Methods and Results.....	14
Introduction.....	14
EIA antibody test kit manufacturers.....	14
EIA Ag/Ab test kit manufacturers.....	15
Other EIA test kits.....	15
EIA false-positive and false-negative results.....	16
EIA results by donor.....	16
Comments.....	16
Laboratory Practice Questions.....	17
Introduction.....	17
Supplemental/Confirmatory tests.....	17
Use of Gen-Probe Aptima HIV-1 RNA test.....	17
Testing Sequences - Algorithms.....	18
Comments.....	18
Western Blot Methods and Results.....	19
Introduction.....	19
WB test kits.....	19
WB interpretive criteria.....	20
WB interpretive guidelines.....	20
WB band patterns.....	20
WB results by donor.....	20
WB false-positive, indeterminate, and false-negative, results by test kits.....	21
WB comments.....	21
IFA Methods and Results.....	22
Introduction.....	22
IFA test kits by manufacturer.....	22
IFA false-negative and indeterminate results.....	22
IFA results by donor.....	23
Comments.....	23
“Other” Test Methods and Results.....	24
Introduction.....	24
“Other” test kits, by manufacturer.....	24
Comments.....	24
Appendix.....	25

List of Tables

Table 1: Results Summary	6
Table 2: Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 (HIV-1) Antibody (Ab) Testing for the July 2007 Shipment	9
Table 3: CDC Western blot (WB) testing results for the July 2007 shipment.....	10
Table 4: Location of MPEP participants reporting HIV-1 Ab results	12
Table 5: False-positive and false-negative EIA results, reported by participant laboratories, by kit manufacturer	16
Table 6: False-positive, indeterminate and false-negative interpretations for Western blot test, by manufacturer	21
Table 7: False-negative and indeterminate IFA results reported by laboratories, by manufacturer	22

List of Figures

Figure 1: Geographic distribution of MPEP participant laboratories in the United States and U.S. territories.....	11
Figure 2: Number of HIV-1 participants reporting EIA, WB, IFA, and "Other" results, by laboratory type.....	13
Figure 3. Percentage and number of laboratories using EIA test kits, by manufacturer.....	14
Figure 4: Percentage and number of non-U.S. laboratories using Ag/Ab test kits, by manufacturer	15
Figure 5: Percentage and number of laboratories using WB test kits, by manufacturer.....	19
Figure 6: Percentage and number of laboratories using IFA test kits, by manufacturer.....	22
Figure 7: Percentage and number of "Other" HIV-1 antibody test kits reported by participants, by manufacturer	24

Analysis of Testing Results Reported by Laboratories Participating in the Model Performance Evaluation Program for HIV-1 Antibody During July 2007

Introduction

Purpose The purpose of this report is to present the analysis of results provided to the CDC by laboratories participating in the Model Performance Evaluation Program (MPEP) after they tested the human plasma samples shipped to them in July 2007.

Response Of the 714 laboratories that received performance evaluation panels,

- 630 (88.2%) laboratories submitted results (overall response rate)
- 390 (61.9%) of the 630 laboratories submitted results on-line.

Contents This report contains the analysis of results for

- screening tests, including enzyme immunoassay (EIA) antibody tests, chemiluminescence immunoassay (ChLIA), and antigen/antibody (Ag/Ab) combination tests
- Western blot (WB, a confirmatory test)
- indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA, a confirmatory test), and
- “other” tests (test types other than EIA, WB or IFA, such as line or strip assays, etc.).

Executive Summary

Overall performance

Table 1 summarizes the results grouped by test type: EIA, WB, IFA, and Other.

Method	Total # of Laboratories	Total # of results	Positive Donors			Negative Donor			Overall Performance (TP+TN/total # results) [†]
			Positive	I*	False-negative (% false negative)	Negative	I	False-positive (% false positive)	
EIA	622	4114	3407	nv	36 (1.0)	664	nv	7 (1.0)	99.0%
WB	218	1174	983	93	3 (0.3)	94	0	1(1.0)	99.7% [§]
IFA	28	159	112	14	12 (8.7)	21	0	0	92.5% [§]
Other [¶]	29	171	150	0	0	20	0	1 (4.8)	99.4%

* I, Indeterminate results.

† TP, true positives; TN, true negatives.

‡ nv, not valid. Indeterminate is not a valid interpretation for reporting final EIA results.

§ When calculating overall performance, indeterminate interpretations are considered to be correct for HIV-1 antibody-positive donors, and incorrect for HIV-1 antibody-negative donors.

¶ "Other" test methods refer to test types other than EIA, WB or IFA, such as line or strip assays.

Laboratory demographics

Of the 630 laboratories reporting results for the July 2007 samples panel shipment:

- 461 (73.2%) were in the United States (U.S.) and U.S. territories laboratories and
- 169 (26.8%) were non-U.S. laboratories.

These laboratories identified themselves as:

- 224 (35.6%) hospitals,
- 169 (26.8%) health departments,
- 115 (18.3%) independent laboratories,
- 84 (13.3%) blood banks, and
- 38 (6.0%) other types, which include university-associated research centers, university clinics, Federal government facilities, STD clinics, counseling and testing sites, community-based organizations, etc.

Continued on next page

Executive Summary, Continued

- Test summary** The test results reported by the laboratories (630) are as follows;
- 372 (59.0%) performed EIA only
 - 223 (35.4%) performed EIA and a supplemental test
 - 29 (4.6%) performed an “Other” test in addition to, or instead of EIA, WB, and IFA, and
 - 6 (0.9%) performed only a supplemental test.
-

Laboratory practice questions To obtain more information about laboratory practices, three questions were added to the EIA section of the result booklet and online data entry system.

Of the laboratories that responded

- 587 laboratories provided answers to the question concerning supplemental/confirmatory testing
- 10 laboratories reported using the Aptima HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay and
- 581 laboratories reported the algorithms that are normally used in their laboratories.

Their specific responses to those questions are shown on pages 17-18.

Survey Samples The challenge samples used in this survey were different from the samples sent in the January 2007 survey, see page 8 for a description of the challenge samples.

Challenge Samples

Survey samples

The survey samples were undiluted, defibrinated plasma obtained from individual donors who were either

HIV-1 infected (HIV-1 antibody positive):

These samples were heat-treated at 56° C for 60 minutes to inactivate blood-borne viruses including HIV-1, human T-lymphotropic virus types I and II (HTLV-I/II), and hepatitis B and C viruses.

HIV-1 uninfected (HIV-1 antibody-negative):

These samples were not heat-treated.

Note: The challenge samples in this survey do not contain HIV antigens and only contain HIV-1 antibodies.

Donor testing

Before shipment, each donor sample was tested with the following:

- one chemiluminescence immunoassay
 - two HIV-1 EIA kit,
 - two HIV-1/HIV-2 EIA kits (including one HIV-1/HIV-2 Plus O)
 - supplemental tests;
 - two HIV-1 Western blot (WB) kits, and
 - one HIV-1 indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA).
-

Donor status

Donor 2: (duplicate samples) strong-positive HIV-1

Donor 5: HIV-1 negative (single sample)

Donors 6: (single sample) and **7** (duplicate samples) are HIV-1 antibody positive donors demonstrating factors consistent with seroconversion, including:

- a positive p24 antigen test,
 - positive test for HIV-1 ribonucleic acid (RNA),
 - rising HIV-1 antibody titers in EIA tests, and
 - WB reactivity changing from one donation to the next from nonreactive (no bands) to indeterminate or reactive.
-

Continued on next page

Challenge Samples, Continued

Laboratory worksheet This worksheet is provided for use in comparing individual laboratory results with target results.

Table 2: Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 (HIV-1) Antibody (Ab) Testing for the July 2007 Shipment

Panel Letter	Vial Label	CDC Donor Number	CDC Test Results ¹	Donor HIV Status	Laboratory Interpretation ²			
					<u>EIA</u>		<u>WB</u>	<u>IFA</u>
					<u>Initial</u>	<u>Final</u>		
A	A1	2	Positive	Infected				
	A2	6	Positive	Infected				
	A3	5	Negative	Uninfected				
	A4	7	Positive	Infected				
	A5	2	Positive	Infected				
	A6	7	Positive	Infected				
B	B1	7	Positive	Infected				
	B2	6	Positive	Infected				
	B3	7	Positive	Infected				
	B4	2	Positive	Infected				
	B5	5	Negative	Uninfected				
	B6	2	Positive	Infected				
C	C1	6	Positive	Infected				
	C2	7	Positive	Infected				
	C3	5	Negative	Uninfected				
	C4	2	Positive	Infected				
	C5	7	Positive	Infected				
	C6	2	Positive	Infected				
D	D1	7	Positive	Infected				
	D2	5	Negative	Uninfected				
	D3	6	Positive	Infected				
	D4	2	Positive	Infected				
	D5	2	Positive	Infected				
	D6	7	Positive	Infected				

1. The CDC results were obtained after composite testing with all commercially available HIV-1 and HIV-1/HIV-2 EIA, HIV-1 WB and IFA kits licensed by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). All CDC results are consistent with the manufacturer's criteria for interpretation. WB interpretations are also consistent with Association of Public Health Laboratories/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (AHPL/CDC) interpretative criteria.
2. Laboratory Interpretation space is to be completed by participant laboratories to facilitate comparison of their result with CDC result.

Continued on next page

Challenge Samples, Continued

CDC WB results Table 3: CDC Western blot (WB) testing results for the July 2007 shipment

Panel Letter	Vial Label	CDC Donor Number	CDC Western Blot Test Results Specific WB Band Detected ¹	HIV-1 WB Test Kit Manufacturer	CDC Interpretation ²
A	A1, A5	2	17, 24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 66, 120, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 65, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech ³ Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
	A2	6	24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
	A3	5	No Bands	Both Manufacturers	Negative
	A4, A6	7	24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 66, 120, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 65, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
B	B1, B3	7	24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 66, 120, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 65, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
	B2	6	24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
	B4, B6	2	17, 24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 66, 120, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 65, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
	B5	5	No Bands	Both Manufacturers	Negative
C	C1	6	24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
	C2, C5	7	24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 66, 120, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 65, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
	C3	5	No Bands	Both Manufacturers	Negative
	C4, C6	2	17, 24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 66, 120, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 65, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
D	D1, D6	7	24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 66, 120, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 65, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
	D2	5	No Bands	Both Manufacturers	Negative
	D3,	6	24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive
	D4, D5	2	17, 24, 31, 41, 51, 55, 66, 120, 160 18, 24, 31, 40, 41, 51, 55, 65, 120, 160	Cambridge Biotech Genetic Systems	Positive Positive


1. Western blot (WB) results are based on the band intensity of $\geq 1+$ staining.
2. The CDC interpretation is consistent with APHL/CDC and the manufacturers' criteria for the interpretation of WB results.
3. Cambridge Biotech/Calypte Biomedical.

Demographics

U. S. laboratories

Figure 1 shows the number and location of MPEP laboratories in the U.S. and U.S. territories submitting results for the July 2007 shipment.

Figure 1: Geographic distribution of MPEP participant laboratories in the United States and U.S. territories



Demographics, Continued

All MPEP laboratories

Including the United States and U. S. Territories, MPEP participants are located in 68 countries.

Table 4: Location of MPEP participants reporting HIV-1 Ab results

N=630

Country	Number of Laboratories	Country	Number of Laboratories	Country	Number of Laboratories
Argentina	3	Guyana	1	Romania	1
Australia	6	Honduras	2	Saudi Arabia	2
Austria	3	Hong Kong	2	Scotland	1
Bahamas	1	Hungary	1	Slovakia	1
Barbados	1	India	5	Slovenia	2
Belgium	2	Ireland	1	South Africa	3
Bolivia	1	Israel	5	South Korea	2
Botswana	5	Italy	1	Spain	4
Brazil	2	Jamaica	1	Sri Lanka	5
Canada	17	Japan	1	Suriname	2
Chile	1	Kazakhstan	6	Switzerland	1
Colombia	1	Kenya	2	Taiwan	2
Cote d'Ivoire	2	Kyrgyzstan	3	Tanzania	7
Croatia	2	Malaysia	2	Thailand	9
Curacao, Netherlands Antilles	1	Malta	1	Trinidad	2
Denmark	3	Mexico	1	Turkey	1
Dominican Republic	2	Morocco	1	United Arab Emirates	1
Ecuador	1	Myanmar	1	United Kingdom	1
El Salvador	1	Nigeria	2	United States	447
England	1	Paraguay	1	U.S. Territory	14
Eritrea	1	Peru	2	Uruguay	1
Ethiopia	1	Philippines	2	Uzbekistan	10
Germany	1	Portugal	1	Venezuela	3


Demographics, Continued

Test methods by laboratory type

Figure 2 shows laboratory types and the test methods used. Some laboratories reported using more than one method. Therefore, the sum is greater than the total number of laboratories.

The “n” value in all figures refers to the number of laboratories reporting results, not the methods or test kits used.

Figure 2: Number of HIV-1 participants reporting EIA, WB, IFA, and "Other" results, by laboratory type.



*“Other” laboratories include university-associated research centers, university clinics, Federal government facilities, STD clinics, etc.

EIA Methods and Results

Introduction

Of the 630 laboratories reporting results, 622 (98.7%) performed enzyme immunoassay (EIA) testing using test kits that are designed to detect the presence of HIV-1 and/or HIV-2 antibodies (2nd and 3rd generation tests). In May 2006, Bayer ADVIA Centaur HIV 1/O/2 enhanced immunoassay, a chemiluminescence immunoassay method for detection of HIV-1/2 and subgroup O was approved by the FDA for qualitative testing of HIV antibodies. Results from laboratories using this test are included in this report.

Participant laboratories located in the U.S. reported using seven different EIA test kits and one chemiluminescent method for detection of antibodies in plasma and serum. These test kits are listed in Figure 4 below, and include:


- 5 for HIV-1 and HIV-2, and
- 2 for HIV-1 only.

MPEP participant laboratories outside the U.S. reported using 31 different EIA test kits for detection of antibodies to the HIV-1 and/or HIV-2 virus and HIV p24 antigen.

EIA antibody test kit manufacturers

Figure 3 shows the percentage and number of laboratories using a particular EIA antibody-only test kit. The numbers at the end of the bars show the number of laboratories using each test kit.

Figure 3. Percentage and number of laboratories using EIA test kits, by manufacturer



* FDA approved EIA test kits.

† Other EIA test kits for which no manufacturers' code is provided in the result booklet.

Continued on next page


EIA Methods and Results, Continued

EIA Ag/Ab test kit manufacturers

Many laboratories outside the U.S. use test kits that detect the presence of antibodies to HIV and the presence of p24 antigen (HIV Ag/Ab test kits, 4th generation assays). These test kits are not yet FDA approved, therefore are not used by U. S. participants.

Figure 4 shows the percentage and number of laboratories that used antigen-antibody test kits for simultaneous detection of antigen and antibody.

Figure 4: Percentage and number of non-U.S. laboratories using Ag/Ab test kits, by manufacturer



Other EIA test kits

Laboratories reported using 10 other EIA kits for which no manufacturers' codes are listed in the results booklet or online. Some of these EIA test kit manufacturers are listed below. The number in parenthesis is the number of laboratories that reported using these kits.

- Biotest Anti-HIV Tetra Elisa, (3)
- TecnoSuma Umelisa HIV ½ recombinant, (1)
- J. Mitra Microlisa HIV1/2 (5), and
- Wiener Laboratories HIV-1 Ag/Ab , (1).

Continued on next page

EIA Methods and Results, Continued

EIA false-positive and false-negative results

Table 5: False-positive and false-negative EIA results, reported by participant laboratories, by kit manufacturer*

Manufacturer	Total # of Results	Negative Donor		Positive Donors	
		Negative	False-positive (% false positive)	Positive	False-negative (% false negative)
Abbott Architect Ag/Ab Combo	120	20	0	99	1 (1.0)
Abbott HIV-1/HIV-2 (rDNA)	1074	178	1 (0.6)	894	1 (0.1)
Abbott HIV-1/2 gO	172	27	0	144	1 (0.7)
Bayer ADVIA Centaur	402	65	2 (3.0)	335	0
bioMérieux Vironostika HIV-1	351	56	0	275	20 (6.8)
bioMérieux Vironostika Uni-form II Ag/Ab	168	28	0	139	1(0.7)
Bio-Rad Genetic Systems HIV-1/HIV-2 Plus O	786	130	1(0.8)	653	2 (0.3)
Bio-Rad Genscreen HIV-1/2	77	12	0	64	1 (1.5)
Bio-Rad Genetic Systems rLAV	246	41	0	203	2 (1.0)
Diagnostic Systems EIA Anti-HIV Ag/Ab	54	7	2 (22.2)	45	0
J. Mitra and Co.	30	4	1 (20.0)	18	7 (28)

*Note: Only false-positive and false-negative results are contained in this table. Those test kits for which false-positive and/or false-negative results were not reported are not included.

EIA results by donor

Incorrect results for donors for all reported EIA tests are as follows;

- Donor 2 (HIV-1 infected strong positive), 3 false-negatives,
- Donor 5 (HIV-1 uninfected), 7 false-positives,
- Donor 6 (HIV-1 infected seroconverter), 25 false-negatives, and
- Donor 7 (HIV-1 infected seroconverter), 8 false-negatives.

Comments

Donor 6 provided a greater challenge for participants than did Donor 7, both seroconverters. 69.4% (25/36) of false-negatives were reported for Donor 6. Of the 36 false-negative results reported, 55% (20/36) were reported by laboratories using bioMérieux Vironostika HIV-1. By the end of 2007, the bioMérieux Vironostika HIV-1 immunoassay will no longer be produced in the U.S.

False-negative results are more problematic because while reactive or positive results are confirmed before reporting results, negative or false-negative results are not retested.

In this survey, the overall performance of laboratories reporting EIA and enhanced EIA results is 99.0% correct results.

Laboratory Practice Questions

Introduction

Three questions were added to the January 2007 survey and were again asked in the July 2007 survey. The purpose of these questions was to

- determine if the MPEP participant laboratories are performing supplemental or confirmatory tests, and if so which confirmatory test,
- determine how Gen-Probe's Aptima HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay is being used, if at all, and
- determine what algorithm participants are presently using.

Note: The "N" value for each of the tables in the section represents the number of laboratories that responded to these questions.

Supplemental/Confirmatory tests

1. What supplemental/confirmatory test(s) do you normally run for repeatedly reactive results obtained using the EIA test kit listed in the Repeat EIA section (or Initial EIA if no Repeat EIA is performed) on the opposite page? Check all that apply.

(N=587)

Number of Laboratories	Laboratory Responses
70	A. Supplemental/confirmatory test not run in our laboratory
318	B. Western blot (WB)
30	C. Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA)
1	D. Gen-Probe Aptima HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay
31	E. HIV-1 or HIV-1/2 Rapid Test
216	F. Send to reference laboratory
67	G. Other, (another EIA, line immunoassays, particle agglutination, HIV p24 antigen test, etc)

Seventy laboratories reported performing no confirmatory testing. It is not clear if the laboratories actually did not perform additional testing or if they intended to indicate that the confirmatory testing for their laboratories were performed elsewhere.

Use of Gen-Probe Aptima HIV-1 RNA test

2. If you indicated "Gen-Probe's Aptima HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay" in question 1, for what other purpose(s) do you use this assay? Check all that apply.

(N=10)

Number of Laboratories*	Laboratory Responses
5	A. Only use as Supplemental/Confirmatory test for EIA repeat reactive samples
0	B. Use as method for screening blood or plasma donors
3	C. Use to aid in diagnosis of acute or primary HIV infection
2	D. Other use (no other information reported)

As evidenced in this survey and the January 2007 survey, the Aptima HIV-1 RNA test is not in widespread use among MPEP participant laboratories.

Continued on next page

Laboratory Practice Questions, Continued

Testing Sequences - Algorithms

3. Please indicate your normal testing sequence for samples to be tested for HIV antibody by placing a number in the box corresponding to the step (1st, 2nd, 3rd, etc.) of the testing algorithm. If two assays occur simultaneously in the testing sequence (e.g., WB and IFA), give both assays the same number.

To facilitate comparison between the July 2007 and the January 2007 shipments, the reported algorithms are placed in the same order, as much as possible.

The table below shows the algorithm MPEP participants are presently using.

N= 581						
Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Total Number (435) of U.S. Laboratories (%)	Total Number (157) of Non-U.S. Laboratories (%)	Total Number of Laboratories (%)
EIA-S	EIA-E	WB		254 (58.4)	32 (21.9)	286 (49.2)
EIA-S	EIA-E			88 (20.2)	32 (21.9)	120 (20.7)
EIA-S	EIA-E	IFA		19 (4.4)	0	19 (3.3)
EIA-S	WB			6 (1.4)	8 (5.5)	14 (2.4)
EIA-S	EIA-E	WB	RT	7 (1.6)	6 (4.1)	13 (2.2)
EIA-S				12 (2.8)	7 (4.8)	19 (3.3)
EIA-D	WB			8 (1.8)	2	10
EIA-S	EIA-E	WB	IFA	7 (1.6)	1	8
EIA-S	EIA-D	WB		11 (2.5)	0	11 (1.9)
EIA-S	RT			0	7 (4.8)	7
EIA-S	EIA-E	RT		0	5 (3.4)	5
EIA-S	EIA-D	EIA-E	WB	4 (0.9)	3	7
EIA-E				3	17 (11.6)	20
Other Algorithms				18	29	27

EIA-S = EIA in singlicate

EIA-D = EIA in duplicate

EIA-E = Repeat EIA, if initial is reactive

WB = Western Blot

RT= HIV-1 or HIV-2 rapid test

Comments

While most U.S. laboratories reported performing testing according to CDC guidelines (see reference below), 100 of these laboratories reported performing EIA testing only. It is unclear from these results how many of the U.S. laboratories refer confirmatory testing to other laboratories.

The HIV testing algorithm recommended by CDC consists of initial screening with an EIA followed by confirmatory testing of repeatedly reactive EIAs with a more specific supplemental test (e.g., Western blot or IFA test).

For more information on HIV-1 testing algorithms log onto <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5019a1.htm>

Western Blot Methods and Results


Introduction

Of the 630 laboratories reporting test results in this survey, 218 (34.6%) performed WB testing using four different commercially manufactured WB test kits and one in-house preparation. Three U.S. laboratories used the OraSure HIV-1 Western blot test kit to obtain results for these samples. However, OraSure HIV-1 Western blot is not FDA approved for testing serum or plasma.

WB test kits

The WB test kits used by MPEP participant laboratories are shown below. The numbers at the end of the bars show the number of laboratories using that test kit.

Figure 5: Percentage and number of laboratories using WB test kits, by manufacturer



* FDA approved WB test kits.
 † Orasure HIV-1 Western Blot Kit is only FDA approved for oral fluid.
 ‡ Other, WB tests for which no manufacturers' codes are included in the result booklet.

Continued on next page

Western Blot Methods and Results, Continued

WB interpretive criteria

Of the 218 laboratories reporting WB test results, 217 (99.5%) indicated which WB criteria they used to interpret tests results. Most laboratories used the Association of Public Health Laboratories/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (APHL/CDC) WB interpretive criteria.

The number of laboratories using specific criteria are as follows:

- 186 (85.7%) APHL/CDC,
 - 24 (11.0%) World Health Organization (WHO), and
 - 7 (3.2%) stated “other” (Manufacturers’ insert, Australian National Reference Laboratory, etc.).
-

WB interpretive guidelines

The WB interpretive guidelines recommended by the two FDA-licensed WB kit manufacturers are *identical* to the APHL/CDC HIV-1 WB interpretive criteria. According to these guidelines:

- A *Positive* test result is defined by the presence of any two of the following bands: p24, gp41, and gp120/160. (Distinguishing the gp120 band from the gp160 band is often very difficult. These two glycoproteins can be considered as one reactant for purposes of interpreting WB test results.)
 - An *Indeterminate* result is defined as bands present that do not meet the criteria for positive.
 - A *Negative* result is defined as no bands present.
-

WB band patterns

The WB bands for the donor samples in this survey, as determined in pre-shipment testing with two FDA-licensed WB test kits, are shown in Table 2, page 10.

WB results by donor

The results by donor are

- Donor 2 (HIV-1 infected strong positive): no false-negatives or indeterminates,
 - Donor 5 (HIV-1 uninfected): 1 false-positive and no indeterminates,
 - Donor 6 (HIV-1 infected seroconverter): 2 false-negatives and 74 indeterminates, and
 - Donor 7 (HIV-1 infected seroconverter): 1 false-negative and 19 indeterminates.
-

Continued on next page

Western Blot Methods and Results, Continued

WB false-positive, indeterminate, and false-negative, results by test kits

Table 6: False-positive, indeterminate and false-negative interpretations for Western blot test, by manufacturer[§]

Manufacturer	Total # of Results	Negative	False-positive	I*	Positive	False-negative	I
Bio-Rad Genetic Systems HIV-1	758	54	0	0	654	3 (0.4%)	47 (6.7%)
Bio-Rad New LAV Blot 1	97	7	0	0	75	0	15 (16.7%)
Cambridge Biotech HIV-1	137	14	1 (6.7%)	0	114	0	8 (6.6%)
Genelabs Diagnostics HIV-1 Blot	157	17	0	0	117	0	23 (16.4%)

*I, Indeterminates

[§]Note: Only false-positive, false-negative and indeterminate results are contained in this table. Those test kits for which false-positive and/or false-negative and/or indeterminate results were not reported are not included.

WB comments In this shipment there were 93 indeterminate results reported for the seroconversion samples,

- 74 (79.6%) for Donor 6 and
- 19 (20.4%) for Donor 7.

Several laboratories reported indeterminate interpretations even though the band patterns and band intensity appeared to fit the reported criteria for reactive results.

There were 95 WB interpretations reported for Donor 5, the HIV-1 antibody-negative donor, although most laboratories do not normally perform WB testing of EIA non-reactive specimens as part of their routine algorithm for HIV antibody testing.


The overall performance for laboratories reporting WB results was 99.7% correct results.

IFA Methods and Results

Introduction Of the 630 laboratories reporting results, 28 (4.4%) performed IFA tests. Of these, 85.7% (24/28) used Sanochemia Fluorognost IFA, the only commercially available IFA test kit. Four laboratories used in-house methods. (Figure 6).

IFA test kits by manufacturer The IFA test kits reported are shown in Figure 6. The numbers at the end of the bars are the number of laboratories using that test kit.

Figure 6: Percentage and number of laboratories using IFA test kits, by manufacturer



IFA false-negative and indeterminate results

Table 7: False-negative and indeterminate IFA results reported by laboratories, by manufacturer

Methods/Manufacturer	Total # of Results	Negative Donors			Positive Donors		
		Negative	False-positive	I*	Positive	False-negative	I
Sanochemia Fluorognost	136	18	0	0	100	12 (10.2%)	6 (5.1%)
In-House	23	3	0	0	12	0	8 (66.7%)

*I, indeterminates

Continued on next page

IFA Methods and Results, Continued

- IFA results by donor** Incorrect results for each donor are as follows;
- Donor 2, 2 indeterminates , no false-negatives,
 - Donor 5, 0 indeterminates, no false-positives,
 - Donor 6, 5 indeterminates, 9 false-negatives, and
 - Donor 7, 7 indeterminates and 3 false-negatives.
-

Comments IFA was performed by 4.6% of MPEP participant laboratories. The overall performance of laboratories that performed IFA was 92.6% correct results.

“Other” Test Methods and Results


Introduction

Thirty (4.8%) of the 630 laboratories reported using “Other” tests. Tests in this category are based on line immunoblot assay technology or particle agglutination. Laboratories reported their results in the “Other” test type section of the result form since the form is not designed to capture all reporting formats for these types of results.

“Other” test kits, by manufacturer

Figure 7 shows the “Other” test kits used by laboratories participating in this survey. The numbers at the end of the bars show the number of laboratories using that test kit.

Figure 7: Percentage and number of "Other" HIV-1 antibody test kits reported by participants, by manufacturer



Comments

In this shipment, there was one false positive, 0 indeterminates, and 0 negatives reported. Laboratories using these “other” tests methods reported 99.4% correct results.

Appendix

Glossary of Terms

Chemiluminescence immunoassay, ChLIA, is a screening test to detect antibodies to HIV using a chemiluminescent signal generating compound such as acridinium.

EIA: Enzyme immunoassay, sometimes referred to as ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay), is a screening test to detect antibodies to HIV and other viruses and some bacteria.

False-negative: A negative test result for a sample that is actually positive.

False-positive: A positive test result for a sample that is actually negative.

HIV Antibody: Specific immunoglobulin produced the body's immune system in response to the HIV virus.

HIV Antigen: Specific immune-recognizable proteins, such as p24, which cause the production of antibodies.

HIV test: More correctly referred to as an HIV antibody test, this test detects antibodies to HIV, rather than detecting the virus itself.

IFA test: Indirect immunofluorescence assay, a confirmatory test for the detection of antibodies to Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type I (HIV-1) in human serum or plasma.

Indeterminate test results: A possible result for IFA, WB or "Other" test that might represent a recent HIV infection, but does not meet the criteria for positive.

Positive test: For HIV, a specimen that is reactive on a screening test such as an EIA test and confirmed positive on Western blot or other confirmatory test indicating that the donor is infected with HIV.

Seroconversion: Initial development of detectable antibodies specific to a particular antigen; the change of a serologic test result from negative to positive as a result of antibodies induced by the introduction of antigens or microorganisms into the host.

Western blot: For HIV, a laboratory test that detects antibodies specific for components of the HIV virus. It is chiefly used to confirm the presence of HIV antibodies in specimens found reactive using a screening test such as the EIA test.