It Started Long Ago…

1949

– First chemistry Survey conducted
– CAP cooperates in AMA blood bank survey

1951

– First standard solutions offered

1958

– First bacteriology Survey conducted
– CAP sends brochure on cytology to all US physicians
History Timeline

1961
- Ad Hoc Committee on Laboratory Accreditation submits report recommending establishment of accreditation program

1962
- CAP Inspection and Accreditation Program established

1965
- First laboratory accreditation checklist compiled
History Timeline

1967

– Proficiency testing participation becomes a requirement for accreditation program
– Clinical Laboratories Improvement Act of 1967 passed
– JCAH adopts CAP laboratory accreditation standards

1969

– Inspection & Accreditation Program declared equivalent to CLIA-67 standards by HCFA
History Timeline

1973

– Laboratory accreditation cycle shortened from three years to two years

1978

– JCAH grants deeming authority for CAP Inspection & Accreditation Program

1979

– Inspection & Accreditation Program renamed Laboratory Accreditation Program (LAP)
History Timeline

1984
- First hormone receptor assay Survey offered

1986
- Joint inspection of blood banks initiated by CAP and American Association of Blood Banks

1988
- Clinical Laboratories Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA-88) enacted
History Timeline

1988 con’t

– CAP disseminates public information to women on importance of routine Pap smears in response to *Wall Street Journal* “Pap mill” expose and strengthens accreditation program for cytology

– CAP, AMA, AAFP, and ASIM establish the Commission on Office Laboratory Accreditation (COLA) for physician office laboratories

– Accreditation program first offered for forensic urine drug testing (FUDT)
History Timeline

1990
- Performance Improvement Program in Cervicovaginal Pathology (PAP) inaugurated

1992
- Reproductive biology accreditation program (RLAP) implemented

1994
- CAP receives deeming authority for LAP under CLIA-88
Recent History

- Complaints poster & whistle-blower policy
- Conflict of interest policies strengthened
- Inspector assignment done in-house
- Mandatory inspector training
- Independence of accreditation decision
- Unannounced inspections
- Participation in CMS Partners’ group
Future Improvements

2008

– CAP announces pilot implementation for ISO 15189 program
CLIA-88
Landmark Legislation

• Recognized that quality laboratory practice was important to the health and welfare of the population
• Not “interstate only” as was CLIA-67
• Looked at all aspects of laboratory testing
  – Pre analytic
  – Analytic
  – Post analytic
• Resulted in improvements of quality lab practice
• Philosophies of both CAP and federal oversight became “in sync”
Structure of CAP LAP

• Scientific Resource Committees (US experts in all laboratory disciplines)
  – Ponder new technologies
  – Suggest relevant requirements to keep Program on cutting edge
  – Review requirements for accuracy, relevancy, and quality lab practice

• Commission on Laboratory Accreditation
  – Regional representation of pathologists who operate laboratories and know rules, regulations, and necessity for requirements

• Expert committees
  – Create checklists
  – Monitor proficiency testing
  – Handle complaints
  – Develop inspection education
  – Improve inspection process
  – Apply consistent accreditation decisions

• Oversight Council
  – Authority to aid with strategic planning, mission, and program direction
CAP Implementation of CLIA 88

- Discipline-specific checklists are the blueprint of quality practices for laboratories to follow, constantly evolve to reflect changes in technology
- Exceed CLIA requirements
  - Anatomic Pathology (Autopsy & Histology Processing)
  - Cytogenetics c.1976
  - Reproductive Biology c.1993
  - Molecular Pathology c.1993
  - Biochemical Genetics c.2009
More CAP Implementation

- Utilizes practicing lab professionals (true peers) in all disciplines
- Utilizes cadre of staff inspectors who perform inspections and assist peer inspectors to aid in uniformity and quality assessment
- Requires proficiency testing (PT) for regulated and unregulated analytes
- CAP Surveys offers PT for routine and esoteric tests
Not Just Talking Quality …

• Outcome Indicators of Quality
  – Proficiency Testing
  – Inspection Results
Proficiency Testing
All labs that participate in the LAP required proficiency testing perform better over time.

Figure 2: Percentage of Labs with Proficiency Testing Failures from 1999 through 2003, by Survey Organization

CMS changed grading criteria

Source: GAO analysis of CMS proficiency testing data.
Note: Data include labs affiliated with each organization during each year.
Proficiency Testing

All labs that participate in CAP required proficiency testing perform better over time.
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Decrease in Deficiencies Post Initial Accreditation
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CAP Areas of Collaboration with Other Agencies

- Commission on Cancer (CoC)
  - Accepted CAP Cancer Protocols
- American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)
  - HER2 requirements & other predictive markers
- United Network of Organ Sharing (UNOS)
  - Collaboration for organ donation programs
- American Society of Reproductive Medicine (ASRM)
  - Co-sponsors reproductive accreditation program
- Liaisons with specialty societies for PT (ACMG, AACC, ASHI)
- The Joint Commission
- AABB
- Select State Agencies
- Other CMS Partners
Future Opportunities for Quality in Laboratories

• Reevaluate and reconsider advisability of increasing numbers of waived test approvals
• Include esoteric testing such as cytogenetics and molecular in current CLIA-88 framework
Not Just Talking Quality, But Investing in Quality