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Surrogate QC

- Stabilized sample of similar matrix and analyzed like patient specimens
- Historically, derived from industrial practice of sampling product on a factory line.
- QC has target values, if assay recovers target, then everything is assumed stable (instrument, reagent, operator, sample)
- Benefit – surrogate QC monitors the end product (result) of the entire test system
Quality Control

- QC daily at 09:00, two levels
- Line leak occurs at 11:00, dispenses partial reagent causing 25% decrease in values, not detected until next day QC at 09:00. (Systematic Error), must reanalyze all specimens since previous QC at 09:00, the previous day.
- Hemolyzed specimen (Random error) affects one sample
Surrogate Quality Control

- **Advantages**
  - Analyzed like patient specimen
  - Can detect systematic errors: drift, imprecision, chemical deterioration (one point in time onward)

- **Disadvantages**
  - Patients can be reported before problem detected
  - When problem detected must go back and reanalyze patients since last “good” QC
  - Doesn’t detect random errors or work well with unit use devices

- Need to get to fully automated analyzers that eliminate errors upfront, provide assured quality with every sample
Manufacturer Checks – QC Processes

• Some devices have internal checks which are performed automatically with every specimen:
  – Development of a line (Pregnancy test, Occult blood)
  – Sensor signal (blood gas analyzer, clots)
  – Flow resistance and liquid sensors (clots or bubbles in analyzer pipettes)
• Other checks engineered into device:
  – Temperature indicator in shipping carton
  – Barcoding of reagent expiration dates (prevents use)
  – Lockout features that require successful QC
  – Disposable analyzer cuvettes/pipette tips (carry-over)
Variety of New Devices and Control Configurations

• Unique device methods/control configurations
  – Immunoassay – hCG, Drug Testing, Occult blood with internal controls
  – Glucose and Coagulation – Electronic monitors
  – Blood Gas
    • Multi-use Cartridges with liquid control/calibrators
    • Individual tests and readers with internal controls on both
  – In-vivo – continuous pH/glucose monitors, indwelling catheters
  – Alternative specimens – breath alcohol
  – Transcutaneous – neonatal bilirubin, pulse oximeters
• Traditional surrogate QC requirements may not apply
Total Quality Assurance

• Holistic or global approach to QA
• Every instrument or device is different
• Hazard analysis and risk mitigation
  – Hazard analysis defines the sources of potential error for an instrument or device, the frequency of those errors and potential consequences from not detecting an error
  – Risk mitigation involves the development of checks or other means for detecting and preventing a potential error.
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Intended Users: CLSI EP23

• Document intended for users of Laboratory and POC systems with alternative control processes.

• All labs (waived and nonwaived) will find the manufacturer’s test limitations and risk mitigation information useful.
• Labs will receive guidance to enable them to develop effective, cost-efficient QC protocols that will ensure appropriate application of local regulatory requirements based on the technologies selected by the lab and reflective of the lab’s unique environmental aspects.
• Labs will receive guidance to develop QC processes and procedures to:
  
  o Reduce negative impact of test system’s limitation, while considering laboratory environmental/operator factors like personnel competency, temperature, storage conditions, clinical use of test results, etc.

  o Monitor immediate and extended test performance.
EP23 Laboratory QC Based on Risk Management

Input Information
- Regulatory and Accreditation Requirements
- Manufacturer Provided and Other Product Information
- Individual Healthcare and Laboratory Setting

Process
- Risk Assessment

Output
- Laboratory Director’s QC Plan
- Post Implementation Monitoring

CQI
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## Manufacturer Risk Assessment

### EP22/EP23 Glucose Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Failure Mode</th>
<th>Device Feature or Recommended Action</th>
<th>How feature or action performs intended function</th>
<th>Known limitations of feature or action</th>
<th>Actions required to address known limitations</th>
<th>Studies to verify intended feature or action achieves intended purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect results due to sample carryover</td>
<td>Wash mechanism for probes</td>
<td>Wash mechanism reduces risk of sample carryover</td>
<td>Inadequate washing following high sample can affect next sample</td>
<td>Run surrogate QC periodically and perform routine maintenance -check probe</td>
<td>Sample carryover study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Laboratory Risk Assessment

- Washing mechanism cleans sample probe after each aliquot.
- Manufacturer recommends periodic surrogate QC to detect dirty probes and routine maintenance to clean and replace probes.
- Limitation – process may fail to clear a high sample. Studies <15% bias for samples up to 486 mg/dL. What about DKA, high glu?
• Lab director could conduct own carry-over study (using higher glucose samples) or repeat next sample after any glucose >500 mg/dL until sufficient data is collected.

• Residual risk with probe wash and repeating next sample after a high patient
  – Probability of carry-over – remote (that both wash and repeat testing will fail)
  – Severity – serious – unknown effect > 500 mg/dL
  – Residual risk – clinically acceptable

• QC elements (manufacturer probe wash plus laboratory repeat next sample after > 500 mg/dL result) are added to a Lab Risk Assessment and QC Plan
Laboratory Risk Assessment

- Process is repeated for each risk identified, whether from manufacturer or lab identified.
- For each risk, a mitigation strategy is found that will reduce the residual risk to an acceptable level.
- Sum of all QC elements (manufacturer provided and laboratory added) becomes the laboratory’s QC plan specific to this device and the laboratory environment.
- This plan is then checked against manufacturer QC and local regulatory requirements.
EP23 Glucose Example

• Carried through EP22 and EP23 – bench-top, multi-analyte, random access analyzer with a number of internal features and checks.
• Manufacturer provides a table containing a dozen risks and mitigation strategies (some internal, others lab recommended actions)
• EP23 works through the example, builds a lab specific QC plan addressing all identified risks.
• QC plan employs surrogate QC, proficiency surveys, added maintenance checks, and operator training elements (checking critical instrument settings, etc.)
EP23

• Key component of EP23 is follow-up and occurrence management
  – troubleshooting problems after implementing the QC plan,
  – what went wrong,
  – why the QC plan did not catch the problem,
  – modifying the QC plan to mitigate the newly identified risk for the future.
Document Content: CLSI EP23

- Table of Contents
- Section 1 – Scope
- Section 2 – Introduction
- Section 3 – Standard Precautions
- Section 4 – Terminology
  - Abbreviations and Acronyms
Section 5 – Available Quality Control Tools

- Surrogate Sample (traditional) QC protocols to Monitor or Mitigate Errors
  - Nonintegrated
  - Integrated (QC built into device)

- Alternative QC Processes and Other Laboratory Error Identification and Avoidance Techniques

Provide strengths and weaknesses of each QC mechanism or process
Document Content: CLSI EP23

- Section 6 – Information to be gathered – manufacturer recommendations, laboratory specific applications of the test, and local laws and accreditation requirements
- Section 7 – Development of Laboratory-Specific QC Protocols
- Section 8 – Surveillance and Follow-up
Doesn’t replace surrogate QC, but incorporates surrogate QC to address the potential for certain risks.

Utilizes a risk management approach to developing a customized QC plan.

Provides a scientific basis for justifying QC strategies (useful for lab inspectors).

QC plan proactively addresses the potential for risk before a wrong result is released as opposed to current QC strategies that react to a QC failure.
Summary

• EP23 still in draft and being revised
• EP23 provides guidance for labs to develop a customized QC plan based on risk management.
• Assist laboratories by describing the multiple factors that must be considered when developing laboratory-specific QC protocols
• Possible future spin-off product of EP23 in nontechnical terms for physician’s office market and non-laboratorians
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